UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,893	02/02/2000	Stephen J. Brown	7553.00030 / 00-0220	6810
	7590 05/05/201 ERO NETWORK, INC.		EXAMINER	
2400 GENG RC	DAD, SUITE 200		SMITH, CAROLYN L	
PALO ALTO, 0	_A 94505		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/496,893	BROWN, STEPHEN J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carolyn Smith	1631	

	Carolyn Smith	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f.)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	causo
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	**	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).		•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>83-86 and 88-100</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10.	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (land 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
5/3/10	/Carolyn Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1631	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment recites new limitations, such as transmitting a computer program containing queries to said communications apparatus, wherein said computer program when executed causes said communications apparatus to present said", "and collect responses to said queries from each individual", "said responses communicating information about the individuals", "in a database", "said computer", "wherein the responses to the queries from the individuals communicate environmental information about the individuals", "wherein the environmental information comprises one or more of non-genetic information about an individual, information about disease progression, information about diet, information about lifestyle, and information about geographical location", and "queries contained in said computer program are related to one or both fo behavior and environment of each individual" which would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment contains new limitations that would require further search and/or consideration. Because the proposed amendment has not been entered, the 35 USC 102 rejection from the FINAL office action, mailed 2/18/10, is maintained.

Applicant's arguments are based on proposed claim amendments that have not been entered and are therefore unpersuasive.